

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/065,200 | Applicant(s) WISNEWSKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Janet L. Andres | Art Unit 1646 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) Janet L. Andres.

(3) _____.

 (2) Timothy McCutcheon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 6, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the Examiner would amend claims 1 and 10 to require that complements be full length, that redundant language in claim 1 beginning with the comma and ending before the word "complementary" would be deleted from claim 3, and that claim 6 would be amended to require that the cells be isolated. It was agreed that the changes would be made by Examiner's amendment..